

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 THOMAS HEATON SPITTERS,
11 Plaintiff,
12 v.
13 SPITTERS, et al.,
14 Defendants.

Case No. [5:18-cv-07459-EJD](#)

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
REQUEST TO REOPEN CASE**

Re: Dkt. No. 14

15 The court previously dismissed Plaintiff's case without prejudice because Plaintiff had
16 failed to either pay the filing fee or submit a complete IFP application. Dkt. No. 11. The court
17 later denied Plaintiff's motion to re-open the case because Plaintiff had not paid the filing fee or
18 completed an IFP application. Dkt No. 13.

19 Presently before the court is Plaintiff's second request to re-open the case. The request is
20 DENIED because Plaintiff has still not filed a complete IFP application or paid the filing fee.
21 Therefore, the case shall remain closed.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 Dated: June 17, 2019

24 
25 EDWARD J. DAVILA
United States District Judge

26
27
28 Case No.: [5:18-cv-07459-EJD](#)
ORDER DENYING REQUEST TO REOPEN CASE